

Binary quadratic forms and sums of triangular numbers

by

ZHI-HONG SUN (Huaian)

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} be the set of integers and the set of positive integers, respectively. For $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$t_n(a, b) = |\{\langle x, y \rangle : n = ax(x-1)/2 + by(y-1)/2, x, y \in \mathbb{N}\}|.$$

For convenience we also define $t_0(a, b) = 1$ and $t_{-n}(a, b) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\psi(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \quad (|q| < 1).$$

Then clearly

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(q^a)\psi(q^b) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n(a, b)q^n \quad (|q| < 1).$$

Ramanujan conjectured and Berndt proved ([1, pp. 302–303]) that

$$(1.2) \quad q\psi(q)\psi(q^7) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-28}{n}\right) \frac{q^n}{1-q^n} \quad (|q| < 1),$$

where $\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)$ is the Legendre–Jacobi–Kronecker symbol. According to Berndt ([1]), (1.2) is of extreme interest, and it would appear to be very difficult to prove it without the addition theorem for elliptic integrals. By (1.1), the equality (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad t_n(1, 7) = \sum_{k|n+1, 2 \nmid k} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right).$$

In [5, 7, 8] K. S. Williams and the author proved (1.3) and so (1.2) by using the theory of binary quadratic forms.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11E16; Secondary 11E25, 11R29.

Key words and phrases: triangular numbers, binary quadratic forms, the number of representations.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a, c > 0$ and $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ let

$$(1.4) \quad R([a, b, c], n) = |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2\}|.$$

In [5] the author proved the following result.

THEOREM 1.1 ([5, Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.1]). *Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$4t_n(a, b) = \begin{cases} R\left(\left[a, a, \frac{a+b}{4}\right], 2n + \frac{a+b}{4}\right) - R\left([a, 0, b], 2n + \frac{a+b}{4}\right) & \text{if } 4 \mid a+b, \\ R\left(\left[2a, 2a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right], 4n + \frac{a+b}{2}\right) & \text{if } 4 \mid a+b-2, \\ R([4a, 4a, a+b], 8n+a+b) & \text{if } 2 \nmid a+b. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $2 \nmid ab$ and $8 \mid a+b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & R([a, 0, b], 2n + (a+b)/4) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n + (a+b)/8, \\ R([a, a, (a+b)/4], (8n+a+b)/16) & \text{if } 2 \mid n + (a+b)/8. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For 20 values of $\langle a, b \rangle$, the explicit formulas for $t_n(a, b)$ are known. See Table 1.1.

Table 1.1

$t_n(a, b)$	References for formulas for $t_n(a, b)$
$t_n(1, 1)$	Legendre [4]
$t_n(1, 3), t_n(1, 7)$	Ramanujan, Berndt [1, 2], Williams [8]
$t_n(1, 15), t_n(3, 5)$	Sun, Williams [7]
$t_n(1, 2)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.1]
$t_n(1, 4)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.2]
$t_n(1, 5)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.3]
$t_n(1, 9)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.4]
$t_n(1, 13)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.5]
$t_n(1, 25)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.6]
$t_n(1, 37)$	Sun [5, Theorem 3.7]
$t_n(1, 11)$	Sun [5, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2]
$t_n(1, 19), t_n(1, 43)$	Sun [5, Theorem 4.1]
$t_n(1, 67), t_n(1, 163)$	Sun [5, Theorem 4.1]
$t_n(1, 27)$	Sun [5, Theorem 4.3]
$t_n(1, 23)$	Sun [5, Theorems 4.4 and 4.5]
$t_n(1, 31)$	Sun [5, Theorem 4.4]

In this paper, by developing the theory of binary quadratic forms we completely determine $t_n(a, b)$ for 123 more values of $\langle a, b \rangle$. See Table 1.2. We also show that $t_{2n-2}(7, 9) - t_{2n-8}(1, 63)$, $t_{2n-2}(5, 11) - t_{2n-7}(1, 55)$ and $t_{2n-2}(3, 13) - t_{2n-5}(1, 39)$ are multiplicative functions of $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Table 1.2

$t_n(a, b)$	Values of $t_n(a, b)$
$t_n(1, b), t_n(2, b/2)$ ($b = 6, 10, 22, 58$)	Theorem 2.2
$t_n(1, 12), t_n(3, 4), t_n(1, 28), t_n(4, 7)$	Theorem 2.2
$t_n(1, 18), t_n(2, 9)$	Theorem 2.4
$t_n(1, 60), t_n(3, 20), t_n(4, 15), t_n(5, 12)$	Theorem 2.5
$t_n(1, 45), t_n(5, 9)$	Theorem 2.7
$t_n(1, 3b), t_n(3, b)$ ($b = 7, 11, 19, 31, 59$)	Theorem 5.1
$t_n(a, 6m/a)$ ($a \mid 6, m = 5, 7, 13, 17$)	Theorem 5.2
$t_n(a, 10m/a)$ ($a \mid 10, m = 7, 13, 19$)	Theorem 5.3
$t_n(1, 85), t_n(5, 17)$	Theorem 5.4
$t_n(1, 133), t_n(7, 19)$	Theorem 5.5
$t_n(1, 253), t_n(11, 23)$	Theorem 5.6
$t_n(a, 15m/a)$ ($a \mid 15, m = 7, 11, 23$)	Theorem 7.1
$t_n(1, 273), t_n(3, 91), t_n(7, 39), t_n(13, 21)$	Theorem 7.2
$t_n(1, 357), t_n(3, 119), t_n(7, 51), t_n(17, 21)$	Theorem 7.3
$t_n(1, 385), t_n(5, 77), t_n(7, 55), t_n(11, 35)$	Theorem 7.4
$t_n(a, 210/a)$ ($a \mid 30$)	Theorem 7.5
$t_n(a, 330/a)$ ($a \mid 30$)	Theorem 7.6
$t_n(a, 462/a)$ ($a \mid 42$)	Theorem 7.7
$t_n(a, 1365/a)$ ($a \mid 105$)	Theorem 8.2
$t_n(1, 8)$	Theorem 10.1
$t_n(1, 63), t_n(7, 9)$	Theorem 10.2

A nonsquare integer d with $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ is called a *discriminant*. Let d be a discriminant. The *conductor* of d is the largest positive integer $f = f(d)$ such that $d/f^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. As usual we set $w(d) = 1, 2, 4, 6$ according as $d > 0, d < -4, d = -4$ or $d = -3$. For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote the equivalence class containing the form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ by $[a, b, c]$. It is known ([3]) that

$$(1.5) \quad [a, b, c] = [c, -b, a] = [a, 2ak + b, ak^2 + bk + c] \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $H(d)$ be the form class group consisting of classes of primitive, integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant d , and let $h(d) = |H(d)|$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[a, b, c] \in H(d)$ we define $R([a, b, c], n)$ and $N(n, d) = \sum_{K \in H(d)} R(K, n)$ as in [6]. In particular, when $a > 0$ and $b^2 - 4ac < 0$,

then $R([a, b, c], n)$ is given by (1.4). It is known that $R([a, b, c], n) = R([a, -b, c], n)$. If $R([a, b, c], n) > 0$, we say that $n \in R([a, b, c])$, n is represented by $[a, b, c]$ or $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, and write $n = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let d be a negative discriminant such that $h(d) = 4$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let C_m be the cyclic group of order m . Then $H(d) \cong C_4$ or $H(d) \cong C_2 \times C_2$. If $H(d) \cong C_4$ with generator A , for 50 such discriminants d , in Section 9 we give explicit formulas for $R(A, n)$. When $H(d) \cong C_2 \times \cdots \times C_2$, in Sections 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 we determine $R(K, n)$ for any $K \in H(d)$. As applications, in Sections 2, 5, 7, 8 and 10 we deduce many explicit formulas for $t_n(a, b)$.

In addition to the above notation, throughout this paper $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x , $\mu(n)$ denotes the Möbius function, (a, b) denotes the greatest common divisor of integers a and b . For a prime p and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{ord}_p n$ denotes the unique nonnegative integer α such that $p^\alpha \parallel n$ (i.e. $p^\alpha \mid n$ but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n$).

Throughout this paper p denotes a prime and products (sums) over p run through all distinct primes p satisfying any restrictions given under the product (summation) symbol. For example the condition $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ under a product restricts the product to those distinct primes p which are of the form $4k + 1$.

2. Formulas for $t_n(1, b)$ in the cases $b = 6, 10, 12, 18, 22, 28, 45, 58, 60$.

Let d be a discriminant and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of [6, Lemma 4.1], we introduce

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(n, d) = \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d}{k} \right) \\ = \begin{cases} \prod_{\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n) & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q n \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } \left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We recall that $N(n, d) = \sum_{K \in H(d)} R(K, n)$.

LEMMA 2.1 ([6, Theorem 4.1]). *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_0 = d/f^2$. Then*

$$N(n, d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (n, f^2) \text{ is not a square,} \\ m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p} \right) \right) \cdot w(d) \delta\left(\frac{n}{m^2}, d_0 \right) & \text{if } (n, f^2) = m^2 \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, when $(n, f) = 1$ we have $N(n, d) = w(d)\delta(n, d_0)$.

As $f(d_0) = 1$, by Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n/m^2, d_0) = w(d_0)\delta(n/m^2, d_0)$. Thus, if $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, using Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{N(n, d)}{w(d)} = m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p} \right) \right) \cdot \frac{N(n/m^2, d_0)}{w(d_0)}.$$

This is a reduction formula for $N(n, d)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \nmid n$.*

(i) *If $2 \nmid a$ and $4 \nmid (a - b)b$, then*

$$R([a, 0, 4b], n) = \begin{cases} R([a, 0, b], n) & \text{if } n \equiv a \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $2 \nmid a$, $2 \mid b$ and $8 \nmid b$, then

$$R([a, 0, 4b], n) = \begin{cases} R([a, 0, b], n) & \text{if } n \equiv a \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $2 \nmid a + b$ and $8 \nmid ab$, then*

$$R([4a, 4a, a + b], n) = \begin{cases} R([a, 0, b], n) & \text{if } n \equiv a + b \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $2 \nmid a$ and $4 \nmid (a - b)b$. Clearly $n = ax^2 + 4by^2$ implies $2 \nmid x$ and so $n \equiv a \pmod{4}$. Now assume $n \equiv a \pmod{4}$ and $n = ax^2 + by^2$. If $2 \nmid y$, then $a - b \equiv n - by^2 = ax^2 \pmod{4}$ and so $4 \mid (a - b)b$. This contradicts the assumption. Thus $n = ax^2 + by^2$ implies $2 \mid y$. Therefore $R([a, 0, b], n) = R([a, 0, 4b], n)$.

Suppose $2 \nmid a$, $2 \mid b$ and $8 \nmid b$. As $(2m + 1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R([a, 0, 4b], n) &= |\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = ax^2 + by^2, 2 \mid y \}| \\ &= \begin{cases} R([a, 0, b], n) & \text{if } n \equiv a \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i).

Now let us consider (ii). Assume that $2 \nmid a + b$ and $8 \nmid ab$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R([4a, 4a, a + b], n) &= |\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = a(2x + y)^2 + by^2 \}| \\ &= |\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = ax^2 + by^2, 2 \mid x - y \}| \\ &= |\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = ax^2 + by^2, 2 \nmid xy \}|. \end{aligned}$$

As $(2m + 1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, from the above we see that $R([4a, 4a, a + b], n) = 0$ provided $n \not\equiv a + b \pmod{8}$. Now assume $n \equiv a + b \pmod{8}$. If $n = ax^2 + by^2$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \mid xy$, then $n \equiv a, a + 4b, b$ or $b + 4a \pmod{8}$. Since $8 \nmid ab$ we have $a + b \not\equiv a, a + 4b, b, b + 4a \pmod{8}$ and so $n \not\equiv a + b \pmod{8}$. Thus, if $n = ax^2 + by^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we must have $2 \nmid xy$. Hence, from the above we deduce $R([4a, 4a, a + b], n) = R([a, 0, b], n)$. So (ii) is true and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $b \in \{6, 10, 12, 22, 28, 58\}$, $b = 2^r b_0$ ($2 \nmid b_0$), $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \nmid n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R([1, 0, 4b], n) &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 R([4, 4, b + 1], n) &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right) & \text{if } n \equiv b + 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 R([2^{r+2}, 0, b_0], n) &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right) & \text{if } n \equiv b_0 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 R([2^{r+2}, 2^{r+2}, 2^r + b_0], n) &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right) & \text{if } n \equiv 2^r + b_0 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As $2 \mid b$, $2 \nmid b_0$, $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and $2 \nmid n$, using Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R([1, 0, 4b], n) &= \begin{cases} R([1, 0, b], n) & \text{if } 8 \mid n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 R([2^{r+2}, 0, b_0], n) &= \begin{cases} R([2^r, 0, b_0], n) & \text{if } 8 \mid n - b_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 R([4, 4, b + 1], n) &= \begin{cases} R([1, 0, b], n) & \text{if } n \equiv b + 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 R([2^{r+2}, 2^{r+2}, 2^r + b_0], n) &= \begin{cases} R([2^r, 0, b_0], n) & \text{if } n \equiv 2^r + b_0 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is known that $H(-4b) = \{[1, 0, b], [2^r, 0, b_0]\}$. See [6, Table 9.1]. Clearly $n = x^2 + by^2$ implies $n \equiv 1, b + 1 \pmod{8}$, and $n = 2^r x^2 + b_0 y^2$ implies $n \equiv b_0, 2^r + b_0 \pmod{8}$. Since $1, b + 1, b_0, 2^r + b_0$ are distinct modulo 8, we see that

$$N(n, -4b) = \begin{cases} R([1, 0, b], n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1, b + 1 \pmod{8}, \\ R([2^r, 0, b_0], n) & \text{if } n \equiv b_0, 2^r + b_0 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Since $f(-4b) \in \{1, 4\}$ and $2 \nmid n$, we have $(n, f(-4b)) = 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$N(n, -4b) = w(-4b) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-4b}{k}\right) = 2 \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right).$$

Now putting all the above together we deduce the result.

For $b \in \{6, 10, 12, 22, 28, 58\}$ set $b = 2^r b_0$ ($2 \nmid b_0$). From Theorem 1.1 we easily see that $4t_n(1, b) = R([4, 4, b + 1], 8n + b + 1)$ and $4t_n(2^r, b_0) =$

$R([2^{r+2}, 2^{r+2}, 2^r + b_0], 8n + 2^r + b_0)$. Thus, applying Theorem 2.1 we deduce the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \{6, 10, 12, 22, 28, 58\}$.*

(i) *If $b \in \{6, 10, 22, 58\}$, then*

$$t_n(1, b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|8n+b+1} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad t_n(2, b/2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|8n+2+b/2} \left(\frac{-b}{k}\right).$$

(ii) *If $b \in \{12, 28\}$, then*

$$t_n(1, b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|8n+b+1} \left(\frac{k}{b/4}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad t_n(4, b/4) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|8n+4+b/4} \left(\frac{k}{b/4}\right).$$

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *If $8 \nmid a$, $8 \nmid b$ and $4 \nmid a + b$, then $t_n(a, b) = \frac{1}{4}R([a, 0, b], 8n + a + b)$.*

(ii) *If $2 \nmid a$, $8 \mid b-4$ and $4 \mid a+b/4$, then $t_n(a, b) = \frac{1}{4}R([a, 0, b/4], 8n+a+b)$.*

Proof. As $x(x-1)/2 = (1-x)(1-x-1)/2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_n(a, b) &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = a(x^2 - x)/2 + b(y^2 - y)/2\}| \\ &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + b = a(2x - 1)^2 + b(2y - 1)^2\}| \\ &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + b = ax^2 + by^2, 2 \nmid xy\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $8n + a + b = ax^2 + by^2$. When $2 \mid x$ and $2 \mid y$, we have $4 \mid 8n + a + b$ and so $4 \mid a + b$. When $2 \mid x$ and $2 \nmid y$, we have $a \equiv 8n + a = ax^2 + by^2 - b \equiv ax^2 \equiv 0, 4a \pmod{8}$ and so $8 \mid a$. When $2 \nmid x$ and $2 \mid y$, we have $b \equiv 8n + b = ax^2 + by^2 - a \equiv by^2 \equiv 0, 4b \pmod{8}$ and so $8 \mid b$. Thus, if $8 \nmid a$, $8 \nmid b$ and $4 \nmid a + b$, by the above we must have $2 \nmid xy$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_n(a, b) &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + b = ax^2 + by^2, 2 \nmid xy\}| \\ &= R([a, 0, b], 8n + a + b). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i).

Now assume $2 \nmid a$, $4 \mid b$, and $4 \mid a + b/4$. Set $b = 4b_0$. Then $2 \nmid b_0$ and $4 \nmid a - b_0$. As $8n + a + 4b_0 = ax^2 + 4b_0y^2$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$) implies $2 \nmid xy$, and $8n + a + 4b_0 = ax^2 + b_0y^2$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$) implies $2 \mid y$, from the above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_n(a, b) &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + 4b_0 = ax^2 + 4b_0y^2, 2 \nmid xy\}| \\ &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + 4b_0 = ax^2 + 4b_0y^2\}| \\ &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + 4b_0 = ax^2 + b_0y^2\}|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \{1, 2\}$. Then*

$$t_n(a, 18/a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|8n+a+18/a} \left(\frac{-2}{k}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|(8n+a+18/a)/9} \left(\frac{-2}{k}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n - a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Theorem 2.3 yields $4t_n(a, 18/a) = R([a, 0, 18/a], 8n + a + 18/a)$. As $H(-72) = \{[1, 0, 18], [2, 0, 9]\}$ and $f(-72) = 3$, from [6, Theorem 9.3] and (2.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &R([a, 0, 18/a], 8n + a + 18/a) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(1 - (-1)^a \left(\frac{8n+a}{3}\right)\right) \sum_{k|8n+a+18/a} \left(\frac{-8}{k}\right) & \text{if } 3 \nmid 8n + a, \\ 2 \sum_{k|(8n+a+18/a)/9} \left(\frac{-8}{k}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid 8n + a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So the result follows.

REMARK 2.1. Theorem 2.2 can also be proved by using Theorem 2.3 instead of Theorem 1.1. When $b \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 22, 28, 58\}$ and $8n + b + 1$ is a prime power, the formulas for $t_n(1, b)$ have been given by the author in [5, Theorems 5.1 and 5.3]. When $b \in \{3, 5, 9, 11, 29\}$ and $8n + b + 2$ is a prime power, the formulas for $t_n(2, b)$ have been given by the author in [5, Theorems 5.2 and 5.4].

For $b \in \{5, 13\}$ set $4n + (b + 1)/2 = b^\alpha n_0$ ($b \nmid n_0$). Clearly the fact that $2 \mid \text{ord}_p(4n + (b + 1)/2)$ for every odd prime p with $(\frac{-b}{p}) = -1$ implies $(\frac{-b}{n_0}) = \prod_{p|n_0} (\frac{-b}{p})^{\text{ord}_p n_0} = 1$ and so $(\frac{n_0}{b}) = (\frac{b}{n_0}) = (\frac{-1}{n_0}) = (-1)^{(b+1)/2} = -1$. From this and (2.1) we see that Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 in [5] can be rewritten as

$$(2.3) \quad t_n(1, 5) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|4n+3} \left(\frac{-5}{k}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad t_n(1, 13) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|4n+7} \left(\frac{-13}{k}\right).$$

We also note that for $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = a(2x^2 - x) + b(2y^2 - y)\}| \\ &= |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + b = a(4x - 1)^2 + b(4y - 1)^2\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 8n + a + b = ax^2 + by^2, 2 \nmid xy\}| = t_n(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{1, 3, 5, 15\}$ and $8n + a + 60/a = 3^\alpha n_0$ ($3 \nmid n_0$). Then*

$$t_n(a, 60/a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + (-1)^\alpha \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{15} \right) & \text{if } a = 1, 15, \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - (-1)^\alpha \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{15} \right) & \text{if } a = 3, 5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.3(ii) we see that $4t_n(a, 60/a) = R([a, 0, 15/a], 8n + a + 60/a)$. By [6, Theorem 9.3] and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} R([a, 0, 15/a], 8n + a + 60/a) &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + (-1)^\alpha \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|3^\alpha n_0} \left(\frac{-15}{k} \right) & \text{if } a = 1, 15, \\ \left(1 - (-1)^\alpha \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|3^\alpha n_0} \left(\frac{-15}{k} \right) & \text{if } a = 3, 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now combining all the above with the fact that $\sum_{k|3^\alpha n_0} \left(\frac{-15}{k} \right) = \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{15} \right)$ we deduce the result.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = 5^\alpha n_0$ ($5 \nmid n_0$). Then*

$$R([1, 0, 45], n) = \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n_0/9} \left(\frac{-20}{k} \right) & \text{if } 9 | n \text{ and } n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}, \\ 2 \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{-20}{k} \right) & \text{if } 3 | n - 1 \text{ and } n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$R([5, 0, 9], n) = \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n_0/9} \left(\frac{-20}{k} \right) & \text{if } 9 | n \text{ and } n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}, \\ 2 \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{-20}{k} \right) & \text{if } 3 | n - 2 \text{ and } n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is known that $f(-180) = 3$ and $H(-180) = \{[1, 0, 45], [5, 0, 9], [2, 2, 23], [7, 4, 7]\}$. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{9}$, then clearly $n = x^2 + 45y^2$ is insolvable and so $R([1, 0, 45], n) = 0$. If $9 | n$, then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} R([1, 0, 45], n) &= R([1, 0, 5], n/9) = R([1, 0, 5], 5^\alpha n_0/9) \\ &= R([1, 0, 5], 5^{\alpha-1} n_0/9) = \dots = R([1, 0, 5], n_0/9). \end{aligned}$$

It is known that $f(-20) = 1$ and $H(-20) = \{[1, 0, 5], [2, 2, 3]\}$. Thus, if $n_0 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, then $n_0/9 \equiv \mp 2 \pmod{5}$ and so $R([1, 0, 45], n) = R([1, 0, 45], n_0/9) = 0$; if $n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$, as $n_0/9 = 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2$ implies $2n_0/9 = (2x + y)^2 + 5y^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and so $n_0 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, we have $R([2, 2, 3], n_0/9) = 0$ and so

$$R([1, 0, 45], n) = R([1, 0, 5], n_0/9) = N(n_0/9, -20) = 2 \sum_{k|n_0/9} \left(\frac{-20}{k} \right).$$

Now we assume $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then $3 \nmid n_0$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $5m = x^2 + 45y^2$ implies $5 \mid x$, and $5m = 5x^2 + 9y^2$ implies $5 \mid y$. Thus $R([1, 0, 45], 5m) = R([5, 0, 9], m)$ and $R([5, 0, 9], 5m) = R([1, 0, 45], m)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R([1, 0, 45], n) &= R([1, 0, 45], 5^\alpha n_0) = R([5, 0, 9], 5^{\alpha-1} n_0) \\ &= R([1, 0, 45], 5^{\alpha-2} n_0) = \dots = \begin{cases} R([1, 0, 45], n_0) & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha, \\ R([5, 0, 9], n_0) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $n_0 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, then n_0 cannot be represented by $x^2 + 45y^2$ and $5x^2 + 9y^2$. Thus $R([1, 0, 45], n) = 0$ by the above. Now suppose $n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. It is easily seen that n_0 cannot be represented by $[2, 2, 23]$ and $[7, 4, 7]$. Clearly $n_0 = x^2 + 45y^2$ implies $n_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and $n_0 = 5x^2 + 9y^2$ implies $n_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Since $n_0 = 5^{-\alpha} n \equiv (-1)^\alpha n \equiv (-1)^\alpha \pmod{3}$, using the above and Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R([1, 0, 45], n) &= \begin{cases} R([1, 0, 45], n_0) & \text{if } n_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ R([5, 0, 9], n_0) & \text{if } n_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases} \\ &= N(n_0, -180) = 2 \sum_{a|n_0} \left(\frac{-20}{a} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above we prove the formula for $R([1, 0, 45], n)$. Since $R([1, 0, 45], 5n) = R([5, 0, 9], n)$, replacing n with $5n$ in the formula for $R([1, 0, 45], n)$ we deduce the result for $R([5, 0, 9], n)$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *If $4n + 23 = 5^\alpha n_1$ ($5 \nmid n_1$), then*

$$t_n(1, 45) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|n_1/9} (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \left(\frac{k}{5} \right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n - 1 \text{ and } n_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|n_1} (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \left(\frac{k}{5} \right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n \text{ and } n_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $4n + 7 = 5^\alpha n_1$ ($5 \nmid n_1$), then*

$$t_n(5, 9) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|n_1/9} (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \left(\frac{k}{5} \right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n - 5 \text{ and } n_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|n_1} (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \left(\frac{k}{5} \right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n \text{ and } n_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.3(i) we have

$$4t_n(1, 45) = R([1, 0, 45], 8n + 46) \quad \text{and} \quad 4t_n(5, 9) = R([5, 0, 9], 8n + 14).$$

Thus applying Theorem 2.6 we deduce the result.

From Theorem 2.7, (2.1) and the fact that $(-1)^{(k-1)/2}(\frac{k}{5}) = (\frac{-20}{k})$ we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $4n + 23 = 5^\alpha n_1$ with $5 \nmid n_1$. Then n is represented by $\frac{x(x-1)}{2} + 45\frac{y(y-1)}{2}$ if and only if $9 \mid n^2(n-1)$, $n_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ and $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_1$ for every prime $q \equiv 11, 13, 17, 19 \pmod{20}$.*

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $4n + 7 = 5^\alpha n_1$ with $5 \nmid n_1$. Then n is represented by $5\frac{x(x-1)}{2} + 9\frac{y(y-1)}{2}$ if and only if $9 \mid n^2(n-5)$, $n_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ and $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_1$ for every prime $q \equiv 11, 13, 17, 19 \pmod{20}$.*

3. General results for $R(K, n)$ when $K \in H(d)$ and $H(d) \cong C_2 \times \dots \times C_2$. Let d be a discriminant with conductor f and $d_0 = d/f^2$. Assume $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \mid d_0$, $4 \nmid k$, $m \mid f$ and $(k, f/m) = 1$. By [6, Lemma 2.1], for any $K \in H(d)$ there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $K = [a, bkm, ckm^2]$ with $(a, km) = 1$ and $(c, k) = 1$. Following [6, Definition 2.1] we define $\varphi_{k,m}(K) = [ak, bk, c]$.

By the definition, for any $[a, bm, cm^2] \in H(d)$ and $[a, bk, ck] \in H(d)$ with $(c, k) = 1$ we have

$$\varphi_{1,m}([a, bm, cm^2]) = [a, b, c], \quad \varphi_{k,1}([a, bk, ck]) = [ak, bk, c]$$

and

$$\varphi_{k,m}(K) = \varphi_{k,1}(\varphi_{1,m}(K)) \quad \text{for } K \in H(d).$$

From [6, Theorem 2.1] we know that $\varphi_{1,m}$ is a surjective homomorphism from $H(d)$ to $H(d/m^2)$.

Let d be a discriminant and $H^2(d) = \{K^2 : K \in H(d)\}$. Let $G(d) = H(d)/H^2(d)$ denote the group of genera, and let $\omega(d)$ denote the number of distinct prime divisors of d . It is well known ([3]) that $|G(d)| = 2^{t(d)}$, where

$$t(d) = \begin{cases} \omega(d) & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{32}, \\ \omega(d) - 2 & \text{if } d \equiv 4 \pmod{16}, \\ \omega(d) - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3.1 ([6, Theorem 6.1]). *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f , $d_0 = d/f^2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If (n, f^2) is not a square, or there exists a prime p such that $2 \nmid \text{ord}_p n$ and $(\frac{d_0}{p}) = -1$, then $R(G, n) = 0$ for any $G \in G(d)$. Suppose $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\frac{d_0}{p}) = 0, 1$ for every prime p with $2 \nmid \text{ord}_p n$. Then there are exactly $2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)}$ genera G representing n , and for such a genus G we have $R(G, n) = N(n, d)/2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)}$.*

LEMMA 3.2. *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f and $|H^2(d)| = 1$. For any positive divisor m of f we have $|H^2(d/m^2)| = 1$ and*

$$m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p} \right) \right) = \begin{cases} 2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)} \frac{w(d/m^2)}{w(d)} & \text{if } d < 0, \\ 2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)} \frac{\log \varepsilon(d)}{\log \varepsilon(d/m^2)} & \text{if } d > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon(d) = (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})/2$ and (x_1, y_1) is the solution in positive integers to the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 4$ for which $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ is least.

Proof. From [6, Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.6(i)] we know that $\varphi_{1,m}$ is a surjective homomorphism from $H^2(d)$ to $H^2(d/m^2)$. Since $|H^2(d)| = 1$, we must have $|H^2(d/m^2)| = 1$. As $|H^2(d)| = 1$, we have $G(d) = H(d)/H^2(d) \cong H(d)$ and so $h(d) = |G(d)| = 2^{t(d)}$. Since $|H^2(d/m^2)| = 1$, we have $G(d/m^2) = H(d/m^2)/H^2(d/m^2) \cong H(d/m^2)$ and so $h(d/m^2) = |G(d/m^2)| = 2^{t(d/m^2)}$. Now applying the above and [6, Lemma 3.5] we obtain the result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f , $d_0 = d/f^2$ and $|H^2(d)| = 1$. Let $K \in H(d)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $R(K, n) > 0$. Then $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$R(K, n) = \begin{cases} w\left(\frac{d}{m^2}\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{d_0}{p}\right)=1} \left(1 + \text{ord}_p \frac{n}{m^2}\right) & \text{if } d < 0, \\ \frac{1}{2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)}} \cdot m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p}\right)\right) \\ \quad \times \prod_{\left(\frac{d_0}{p}\right)=1} \left(1 + \text{ord}_p \frac{n}{m^2}\right) & \text{if } d > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $|H^2(d)| = 1$, every genus consists of a single class. Thus, applying Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 we have $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R(K, n) &= \frac{1}{2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)}} N(n, d) \\ &= \frac{w(d)}{2^{t(d)-t(d/m^2)}} \cdot m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p}\right)\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{d_0}{p}\right)=1} \left(1 + \text{ord}_p \frac{n}{m^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 3.2 gives the result.

Euler called a positive integer n a *convenient number* if it satisfies the following criterion: *Let m be an odd number such that $(m, n) = 1$ and $m = x^2 + ny^2$ with $(x, y) = 1$. If the equation $m = x^2 + ny^2$ has only one solution with $x, y \geq 0$, then m is a prime.*

According to [3], Euler listed 65 convenient numbers as in Table 3.1 below. He was interested in convenient numbers because they helped him

find large primes. Gauss observed that a positive integer n is a convenient number if and only if $|H^2(-4n)| = 1$. In 1973 it was known that Euler’s list is complete except for possibly one more n .

Table 3.1

$h(-4n)$	n ’s with $ H^2(-4n) = 1$
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 7
2	5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 22, 25, 28, 37, 58
4	21, 24, 30, 33, 40, 42, 45, 48, 57, 60, 70, 72, 78, 85, 88, 93, 102, 112, 130, 133, 177, 190, 232, 253
8	105, 120, 165, 168, 210, 240, 273, 280, 312, 330, 345, 357, 385, 408, 462, 520, 760
16	840, 1320, 1365, 1848

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $d < 0$ be a discriminant with conductor f and $d_0 = d/f^2$. Let $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_1 \mid d_0$ and $K \in H(d)$. Let $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k = \prod_{p \mid d_1, 2 \nmid \text{ord}_p n} p$ and $n_1 = \prod_{p \nmid d_1} p^{\text{ord}_p(n/m^2)}$. Then $R(K, n) = R(\varphi_{k,m}(K), n_1)$.*

Proof. By [6, Theorem 3.2] we have $R(K, n) = R(K', n/m^2)$, where $K' = \varphi_{1,m}(K) \in H(d/m^2)$. Let p be a prime such that $p \mid d_1$ and $p \mid n/m^2$. Since $(n/m^2, f^2/m^2) = 1$ we have $(n/m^2, f/m) = 1$ and so $p \nmid f/m$. By [6, Lemma 2.1] we may assume $K' = [a, bp, cp]$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \nmid ac$. Suppose $\alpha_p = \text{ord}_p(n/m^2)$. Then $2 \nmid \alpha_p$ if and only if $2 \nmid \text{ord}_p n$. Applying [6, Lemma 3.4] we have

$$R\left(K', \frac{n}{m^2}\right) = R\left(K', \frac{n/m^2}{p^2}\right) = \dots = R\left(K', \frac{n/m^2}{p^{2\lceil \alpha_p/2 \rceil}}\right).$$

Thus,

$$R\left(K', \frac{n}{m^2}\right) = \dots = R\left(K', \frac{n/m^2}{\prod_{p \mid d_1, p \mid n/m^2} p^{2\lceil \alpha_p/2 \rceil}}\right) = R(K', kn_1).$$

From the above and [6, Lemma 3.4] we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} R(K, n) &= R(K', n/m^2) = R(K', kn_1) = R(\varphi_{k,1}(K'), n_1) \\ &= R(\varphi_{k,1}(\varphi_{1,m}(K)), n_1) = R(\varphi_{k,m}(K), n_1). \end{aligned}$$

This is the result.

LEMMA 3.3 ([6, Theorem 2.2]). *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f and $d_0 = d/f^2$. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \mid d_0$, $4 \nmid k$ and $(k, f) = 1$. For $K \in H(d)$ we have*

$$\varphi_{k,1}(K) = \begin{cases} [k, 0, -d/(4k)]K & \text{if } 4k \mid d, \\ [k, k, (k^2 - d)/(4k)]K & \text{if } 4k \nmid d. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f and $d_0 = d/f^2$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be squarefree, $k \mid d_0$ and $(k, f) = 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$I = \begin{cases} \left[1, 0, \frac{-d}{4} \right] & \text{if } 4 \mid d, \\ \left[1, 1, \frac{1-d}{4} \right] & \text{if } 4 \nmid d \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \begin{cases} \left[k, 0, \frac{-d}{4k} \right] & \text{if } 4k \mid d, \\ \left[k, k, \frac{k^2-d}{4k} \right] & \text{if } 4k \nmid d. \end{cases}$$

Then $R(K, n) = R(I, kn)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we have $\varphi_{k,1}(I) = K$. Thus applying [6, Lemma 3.4] we obtain $R(I, kn) = R(\varphi_{k,1}(I), n) = R(K, n)$ as asserted.

4. Formulas for $R(K, n)$ when $K \in H(d)$, $d < 0$, $f(d) = 1$ and $H(d) \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Let $d < 0$ be a discriminant. Then $H(d) \cong C_2 \times C_2$ if and only if d has one of the 34 values given in [6, Proposition 11.1(ii)].

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $b \in \{7, 11, 19, 31, 59\}$, and set $A_1 = [1, 0, 3b]$, $A_2 = [2, 2, (3b + 1)/2]$, $A_3 = [3, 0, b]$ and $A_6 = [6, 6, (b + 3)/2]$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $2 \nmid n_0$ and $3 \nmid n_0$. Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-3b}{q}) = -1$ and*

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ b \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ (3b + 1)/2 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ (b + 3)/2 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-3b}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

Proof. It is known that $f(-12b) = 1$ and $H(-12b) = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_6\} \cong C_2 \times C_2$. As $2 \nmid n_0$ and $3 \nmid n_0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R(A_1, n_0) > 0 &\Rightarrow n_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ R(A_3, n_0) > 0 &\Rightarrow n_0 \equiv b \pmod{12}, \\ R(A_2, n_0) > 0 &\Rightarrow n_0 \equiv (3b + 1)/2 \pmod{12}, \\ R(A_6, n_0) > 0 &\Rightarrow n_0 \equiv (b + 3)/2 \pmod{12}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for some prime q with $(\frac{-3b}{q}) = -1$, we see that $q \mid n_0$, $2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n$ and $(\frac{-12b}{q}) = -1$. Thus, applying Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n, -12b) = 0$ and so $R(A_i, n) = 0$.

Suppose that $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-3b}{q}) = -1$. From Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n_0, -12b) > 0$. Observe that $1, b, (3b + 1)/2$ and $(b + 3)/2$ are incongruent modulo 12. Applying the above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(A_1, n_0) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow n_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\
 R(A_3, n_0) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow n_0 \equiv b \pmod{12}, \\
 R(A_2, n_0) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow n_0 \equiv (3b + 1)/2 \pmod{12}, \\
 R(A_6, n_0) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow n_0 \equiv (b + 3)/2 \pmod{12}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$k_i = 2^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\alpha_i}}{2}} 3^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\beta_i}}{2}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 2 & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 3 & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ 6 & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have $\varphi_{k_i,1}(A_1) = A_{k_i}$. Thus applying Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we get

$$R(A_i, n) = R(A_1, in) = R(\varphi_{k_i,1}(A_1), n_0) = R(A_{k_i}, n_0).$$

Hence, using the above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(A_i, n) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow R(A_{k_i}, n_0) > 0 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ b \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ (3b + 1)/2 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ (b + 3)/2 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $R(A_i, n) > 0$, by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$R(A_i, n) = w(-12b) \prod_{\left(\frac{-12b}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-3b}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0).$$

So the theorem is proved.

In a similar way one can prove the following results.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $m \in \{5, 7, 13, 17\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $(6, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, 0, 6m/i], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-6m}{q}\right) = -1$ and*

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1, 6m + 1 \pmod{24} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 2m + 3, 8m + 3 \pmod{24} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ 3m + 2, 3m + 8 \pmod{24} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ m, m + 6 \pmod{24} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $R([i, 0, 6m/i], n) > 0$, then

$$R([i, 0, 6m/i], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-6m}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0).$$

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $m \in \{7, 13, 19\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i}5^{\beta_i}n_0$ with $(10, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, 0, 10m/i], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-10m}{q}) = -1$ and*

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1, 9, 1 + 10m, 9 + 10m \pmod{40} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 5 + 2m, 5 + 8m, 5 + 18m, 5 + 32m \pmod{40} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ 5m + 2, 5m + 8, 5m + 18, 5m + 32 \pmod{40} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ m, 9m, 10 + m, 10 + 9m \pmod{40} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $R([i, 0, 10m/i], n) > 0$, then

$$R([i, 0, 10m/i], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-10m}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0).$$

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $A_1 = [1, 0, 85]$, $A_2 = [2, 2, 43]$, $A_5 = [5, 0, 17]$ and $A_{10} = [10, 10, 11]$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i}5^{\beta_i}n_0$ with $(10, n_0) = 1$. Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-85}{q}) = -1$ and*

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1, 9 \pmod{20} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 13, 17 \pmod{20} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ 3, 7 \pmod{20} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 11, 19 \pmod{20} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-85}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $A_1 = [1, 0, 133]$, $A_2 = [2, 2, 67]$, $A_7 = [7, 0, 19]$ and $A_{14} = [14, 14, 13] = [13, 12, 13]$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 7, 14\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i}7^{\beta_i}n_0$ with $(14, n_0) = 1$. Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-133}{q}) = -1$ and*

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1, 9, 25 \pmod{28} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 3, 19, 27 \pmod{28} & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i, \\ 11, 15, 23 \pmod{28} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \mid \beta_i, \\ 5, 13, 17 \pmod{28} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i \text{ and } 2 \nmid \beta_i. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-133}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $A_1 = [1, 0, 253]$, $A_2 = [2, 2, 127]$, $A_{11} = [11, 0, 23]$ and $A_{22} = [22, 22, 17] = [17, 12, 17]$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 11, 22\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i}11^{\beta_i}n_0$ with $(22, n_0) = 1$. Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-253}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{-1}{n_0}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{11}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$. Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-253}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 4.7. *Let $m \in \{13, 29, 37, 53\}$, $i \in \{1, 3, 5, 15\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i}5^{\beta_i}n_0$ with $(15, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i + 15m/i)/4], n) > 0$ if and only*

if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-15m}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{[(m-2)/3]\alpha_i + \beta_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + [(m-3)/5]\beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i + 15m/i)/4], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i + 15m/i)/4], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-15m}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.8. Let $i \in \{1, 3, 7, 21\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i} 7^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $(21, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i + 483/i)/4], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-483}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i + 483/i)/4], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i + 483/i)/4], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-483}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.9. Let $i \in \{1, 5, 7, 35\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 5^{\alpha_i} 7^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $(35, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i + 595/i)/4], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-595}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i + 595/i)/4], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i + 595/i)/4], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-595}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.10. Let $i \in \{1, 3, 11, 33\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i} 11^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $(33, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i + 627/i)/4], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-627}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{11}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i + 627/i)/4], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i + 627/i)/4], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-627}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.11. Let $i \in \{1, 5, 11, 55\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 5^{\alpha_i} 11^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $(55, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i + 715/i)/4], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-715}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{11}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i + 715/i)/4], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i + 715/i)/4], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-715}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 4.12. Let $i \in \{1, 5, 7, 35\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $in = 5^{\alpha_i} 7^{\beta_i} n_0$ with $(35, n_0) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i + 1435/i)/4], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-1435}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i + 1435/i)/4], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i + 1435/i)/4], n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-1435}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

5. Formulas for $t_n(a, b)$ when $4 \nmid a + b$ and $H(-4ab) \cong C_2 \times C_2$

THEOREM 5.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{1, 3\}$, $b \in \{7, 11, 19, 31, 59\}$ and $4n + (a + 3b/a)/2 = 3^\beta n_0$ ($3 \nmid n_0$). Then $t_n(a, 3b/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-3b}{q}\right) = -1$ and

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3} & \text{if } b \in \{11, 59\} \text{ and } \beta \equiv (a + 1)/2 \pmod{2}, \\ 2 \pmod{3} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $t_n(a, 3b/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 3b/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\left(\frac{-3b}{p}\right)=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

Proof. As $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have $a + 3b/a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we have $4t_n(a, 3b/a) = R([a, 0, 3b/a], 8n + a + 3b/a)$. Since $a(8n + a + 3b/a) = 2 \cdot 3^{\beta+(a-1)/2}n_0$, applying Theorem 4.1 we deduce that $t_n(a, 3b/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-3b}{q}) = -1$ and

$$(5.1) \quad n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} (3b + 1)/2 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \mid \beta + (a - 1)/2, \\ (b + 3)/2 \pmod{12} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \beta + (a - 1)/2. \end{cases}$$

From $4n + (a + 3b/a)/2 = 3^\beta n_0$ we know that $n_0 \equiv (3b + 1)/2$ or $(b + 3)/2 \pmod{4}$ according as $2 \mid \beta + (a - 1)/2$ or $2 \nmid \beta + (a - 1)/2$. Thus, (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &\equiv \begin{cases} (3b + 1)/2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} & \text{if } 2 \mid \beta + (a - 1)/2, \\ (b + 3)/2 \equiv 2b \pmod{3} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \beta + (a - 1)/2 \end{cases} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3} & \text{if } b \in \{11, 59\} \text{ and } \beta \equiv (a + 1)/2 \pmod{2}, \\ 2 \pmod{3} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from Theorem 4.1.

From Theorems 4.2–4.6 and 2.3 one can similarly deduce the following results.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{5, 7, 13, 17\}$ and $a \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. If $8n + a + 6m/a = 3^\beta n_0$ ($3 \nmid n_0$), then $t_n(a, 6m/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-6m}{q}) = -1$ and*

$$n_0 \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{a+1} \pmod{3} & \text{if } m \in \{5, 17\}, \\ (-1)^\beta \mu(a) \pmod{3} & \text{if } m \in \{7, 13\}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $t_n(a, 6m/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 6m/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-6m}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{7, 13, 19\}$, $a \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$ and $8n + a + 10m/a = 5^\beta n_0$ ($5 \nmid n_0$). Then $t_n(a, 10m/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-10m}{q}) = -1$ and*

$$\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{a+1} & \text{if } m = 7, 13, \\ (-1)^\beta \mu(a) & \text{if } m = 19. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $t_n(a, 10m/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 10m/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-10m}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{1, 5\}$ and $4n + (a + 85/a)/2 = 5^\beta n_0$ ($5 \nmid n_0$). Then $t_n(a, 85/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-85}{q}) = -1$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\beta+1} \mu(a)$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 85/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 85/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-85}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 5.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{1, 7\}$ and $4n + (a + 133/a)/2 = 7^\beta n_0$ ($7 \nmid n_0$). Then $t_n(a, 133/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q*

with $(\frac{-133}{q}) = -1$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = (-1)^\beta \mu(a)$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 133/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 133/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-133}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 5.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{1, 11\}$ and $4n + (a + 253/a)/2 = 11^\beta n_0$ ($11 \nmid n_0$). Then $t_n(a, 253/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-253}{q}) = -1$ and $n_0 \equiv 2, 6, 7, 8, 10 \pmod{11}$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 253/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 253/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-253}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

6. Formulas for $R(K, n)$ when $K \in H(d)$, $d < 0$, $f(d) = 1$ and $H(d) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$

THEOREM 6.1. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 30$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 5^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 30) = 1$. Let $m \in \{7, 11, 23\}$ and*

$$A_i = \begin{cases} [i, 0, 15m/i] & \text{if } 2 \nmid i, \\ \left[i, i, \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i}{2} + \frac{15m}{i/2} \right) \right] & \text{if } 2 \mid i. \end{cases}$$

Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-15m}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{-1}{n_0}) = (-1)^{((m+1)/4)\alpha_i + \beta_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + [(m+2)/3]\beta_i + \gamma_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + [(m-3)/5]\gamma_i}$. Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-15m}{p})=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

Proof. It is known that $f(-60m) = 1$ and $H(-60m) = \{A_k : k \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30\}\} \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. If $2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for some prime q with $(\frac{-15m}{q}) = -1$, then $2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n$ and $(\frac{-60m}{q}) = -1$. Thus, applying Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n, -60m) = 2\delta(n, -60m) = 0$ and so $R(A_i, n) = 0$.

Suppose that $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-15m}{q}) = -1$. From Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n_0, -60m) > 0$. Now it is easily seen that $R(A_k, n_0) > 0$ depends only on the values of $(\frac{-1}{n_0})$, $(\frac{n_0}{3})$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5})$ given by Table 6.1.

Table 6.1. Criteria for $R(A_k, n_0) > 0$

k	A_k	$(\frac{-1}{n_0})$	$(\frac{n_0}{3})$	$(\frac{n_0}{5})$
1	$[1, 0, 15m]$	1	1	1
2	$[2, 2, \frac{1+15m}{2}]$	$(-1)^{(m+1)/4}$	-1	-1
3	$[3, 0, 5m]$	-1	$(-1)^{[(m+2)/3]}$	-1
5	$[5, 0, 3m]$	1	-1	$(-1)^{[(m-3)/5]}$
6	$[6, 6, \frac{3+15m}{2}]$	$-(-1)^{(m+1)/4}$	$-(-1)^{[(m+2)/3]}$	1
10	$[10, 10, \frac{5+3m}{2}]$	$(-1)^{(m+1)/4}$	1	$-(-1)^{[(m-3)/5]}$
15	$[15, 0, m]$	-1	$-(-1)^{[(m+2)/3]}$	$-(-1)^{[(m-3)/5]}$
30	$[30, 30, \frac{15+m}{2}]$	$-(-1)^{(m+1)/4}$	$(-1)^{[(m+2)/3]}$	$(-1)^{[(m-3)/5]}$

Set $k_i = 2^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\alpha_i}}{2}} 3^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\beta_i}}{2}} 5^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\gamma_i}}{2}}$. By Lemma 3.3 we have $\varphi_{k_i,1}(A_1) = A_{k_i}$. Thus applying Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we get

$$R(A_i, n) = R(A_1, in) = R(\varphi_{k_i,1}(A_1), n_0) = R(A_{k_i}, n_0).$$

Hence, using the above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} R(A_i, n) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow R(A_{k_i}, n_0) > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = (-1)^{((m+1)/4)\alpha_i + \beta_i}, \left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + [(m+2)/3]\beta_i + \gamma_i} \\ &\quad \text{and} \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + [(m-3)/5]\gamma_i}. \end{aligned}$$

If $R(A_i, n) > 0$, by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$R(A_i, n) = w(-60m) \prod_{\substack{(-60m) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n) = 2 \prod_{\substack{(-15m) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0).$$

So the theorem is proved.

In a similar way one can prove the following results.

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 42$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 7^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 42) = 1$.*

Let

$$A_i = \begin{cases} [i, 0, 273/i] & \text{if } 2 \nmid i, \\ [i, i, (i^2 + 1092)/(4i)] & \text{if } 2 \mid i. \end{cases}$$

Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-273}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i + \gamma_i}$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{\substack{(-273) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 6.3. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 42$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 7^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 42) = 1$.*

Let

$$A_i = \begin{cases} [i, 0, 357/i] & \text{if } 2 \nmid i, \\ [i, i, (i^2 + 1428)/(4i)] & \text{if } 2 \mid i. \end{cases}$$

Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-357}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i}$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{\substack{(-357) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 6.4. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 70$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 5^{\beta_i} 7^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 70) = 1$.*

Let

$$A_i = \begin{cases} [i, 0, 385/i] & \text{if } 2 \nmid i, \\ [i, i, (i^2 + 1540)/(4i)] & \text{if } 2 \mid i. \end{cases}$$

Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-385}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = (-1)^{\gamma_i}$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i + \gamma_i}$. Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{\substack{(-385) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

THEOREM 6.5. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 30$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 5^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 30) = 1$. Then $R([i, 0, 210/i], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-210}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{-2}{n_0}) = (-1)^{\gamma_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, 0, 210/i], n) > 0$, then $R([i, 0, 210/i], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-210}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 6.6. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 30$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 5^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 30) = 1$. Then $R([i, 0, 330/i], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-330}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{-2}{n_0}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, 0, 330/i], n) > 0$, then $R([i, 0, 330/i], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-330}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 6.7. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 42$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 7^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 42) = 1$. Then $R([i, 0, 462/i], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-462}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{2}{n_0}) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = (-1)^{\beta_i + \gamma_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, 0, 462/i], n) > 0$, then $R([i, 0, 462/i], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-462}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 6.8. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 105$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i} 5^{\beta_i} 7^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 105) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 1155)/(4i)], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-1155}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i^2 + 1155)/(4i)], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 1155)/(4i)], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-1155}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 6.9. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 105$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i} 5^{\beta_i} 7^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 105) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 1995)/(4i)], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-1995}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i^2 + 1995)/(4i)], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 1995)/(4i)], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-1995}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 6.10. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 231$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i} 7^{\beta_i} 11^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 231) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 3003)/(4i)], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-3003}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{11}) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i^2 + 3003)/(4i)], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 3003)/(4i)], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-3003}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 6.11. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 195$ and $in = 3^{\alpha_i} 5^{\beta_i} 13^{\gamma_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 195) = 1$. Then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 3315)/(4i)], n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-3315}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{\beta_i}$, $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{13}) = (-1)^{\beta_i + \gamma_i}$. Moreover, if $R([i, i, (i^2 + 3315)/(4i)], n) > 0$, then $R([i, i, (i^2 + 3315)/(4i)], n) = 2 \prod_{(\frac{-3315}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

7. Formulas for $t_n(a, b)$ when $4 \nmid a + b$ and $H(-4ab) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$

THEOREM 7.1. *Let $m \in \{7, 11, 23\}$, $a \in \{1, 3, 5, 15\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a(4n + (a + 15m/a)/2) = 3^\beta 5^\gamma n_0$ with $3 \nmid n_0$ and $5 \nmid n_0$, then $t_n(a, 15m/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-15m}{q}) = -1$, $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = -(-1)^{[(m+2)/3]\beta + \gamma}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = -(-1)^{\beta + [(m-3)/5]\gamma}$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 15m/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 15m/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-15m}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

Proof. Since $(-1)^{(m+1)/4} \equiv (1 - m)/2 \equiv (a^2 + 15m)/2 \equiv 4na + (a^2 + 15m)/2 = 3^\beta 5^\gamma n_0 \equiv (-1)^\beta n_0 \pmod{4}$, we have $(\frac{-1}{n_0}) = (-1)^{(m+1)/4 + \beta}$. As $a + 15m/a \equiv a + a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, by Theorem 2.3 we have $4t_n(a, 15m/a) = R([a, 0, 15m/a], 8n + a + 15m/a)$. Now applying the above and Theorem 6.1 we deduce the result.

In a similar way, using Theorems 6.2–6.7 one can prove the following results.

THEOREM 7.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \{1, 3, 7, 21\}$. If $4n + (a + 273/a)/2 = 3^\beta 7^\gamma n_0$ with $3 \nmid n_0$ and $7 \nmid n_0$, then $t_n(a, 273/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-273}{q}) = -1$, $n_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = (-1)^\beta (\frac{2}{a})$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 273/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 273/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-273}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 7.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \{1, 3, 7, 21\}$. If $4n + (a + 357/a)/2 = 3^\beta 7^\gamma n_0$ with $3 \nmid n_0$ and $7 \nmid n_0$, then $t_n(a, 357/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-357}{q}) = -1$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = -(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^\beta (\frac{2}{a})$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 357/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 357/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-357}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 7.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \{1, 5, 7, 35\}$. If $4n + (a + 385/a)/2 = 5^\beta 7^\gamma n_0$ with $5 \nmid n_0$ and $7 \nmid n_0$, then $t_n(a, 385/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-385}{q}) = -1$ and $(\frac{n_0}{7}) = -(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{\beta + \gamma} \mu(a)$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 385/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 385/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-385}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 7.5. *Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \mid 30$ and $\frac{a}{(2, a)}(8n + a + 210/a) = 3^\beta 5^\gamma n_0$ with $3 \nmid n_0$ and $5 \nmid n_0$. Then $t_n(a, 210/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-210}{q}) = -1$ and $(\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^\beta (\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^{a-1 + \gamma}$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 210/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 210/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-210}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 7.6. *Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \mid 30$ and $\frac{a}{(2, a)}(8n + a + 330/a) = 3^\beta 5^\gamma n_0$ with $3 \nmid n_0$ and $5 \nmid n_0$. Then $t_n(a, 330/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $(\frac{-330}{q}) = -1$ and $(\frac{n_0}{5}) = (-1)^\gamma (\frac{n_0}{3}) = (-1)^{a-1 + \beta}$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 330/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 330/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{(\frac{-330}{p})=-1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

THEOREM 7.7. *Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \mid 42$ and $\frac{a}{(2, a)}(8n + a + 462/a) = 3^\beta 7^\gamma n_0$ with $3 \nmid n_0$ and $7 \nmid n_0$. Then $t_n(a, 462/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$*

for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-462}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{a-1}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta+\gamma}$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 462/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 462/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\left(\frac{-462}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.

8. Formulas for $t_n(a, 1365/a)$

THEOREM 8.1. *Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \mid 210$ and $in = 2^{\alpha_i} 3^{\beta_i} 5^{\gamma_i} 7^{\delta_i} n_0$ with $(n_0, 210) = 1$. Set $A_i = [i, 0, 1365/i]$ or $[i, i, (i^2 + 5460)/(4i)]$ according as $2 \nmid i$ or $2 \mid i$. Then $R(A_i, n) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-1365}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i+\beta_i+\delta_i}$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i+\beta_i+\gamma_i}$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_i+\beta_i+\gamma_i+\delta_i}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_i+\gamma_i+\delta_i}$. Moreover, if $R(A_i, n) > 0$, then $R(A_i, n) = 2 \prod_{\left(\frac{-1365}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

Proof. It is known that $f(-5460) = 1$ and $H(-5460) = \{A_k : k \in \mathbb{N}, k \mid 210\} \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. If $2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for some prime q with $\left(\frac{-1365}{q}\right) = -1$, we see that $2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n$ and $\left(\frac{-5460}{q}\right) = -1$. Thus, applying Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n, -5460) = 2\delta(n, -5460) = 0$ and so $R(A_i, n) = 0$.

Suppose that $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-1365}{q}\right) = -1$. From Lemma 2.1 we have $N(n_0, -5460) > 0$. Now it is easily seen that $R(A_i, n_0) > 0$ depends only on the values of $\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right)$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right)$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right)$ given by the following table.

Table 8.1. Criteria for $R(A_i, n_0) > 0$

i	A_i	$\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right)$	$\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right)$	$\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)$	$\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right)$
1	[1, 0, 1365]	1	1	1	1
2	[2, 2, 683]	-1	-1	-1	1
3	[3, 0, 455]	-1	-1	-1	-1
5	[5, 0, 273]	1	-1	-1	-1
6	[6, 6, 229]	1	1	1	-1
7	[7, 0, 195]	-1	1	-1	-1
10	[10, 10, 139]	-1	1	1	-1
14	[14, 14, 101]	1	-1	1	-1
15	[15, 0, 91]	-1	1	1	1
21	[21, 0, 65]	1	-1	1	1
30	[30, 30, 53]	1	-1	-1	1
35	[35, 0, 39]	-1	-1	1	1
42	[42, 42, 43]	-1	1	-1	1
70	[70, 70, 37]	1	1	-1	1
105	[105, 0, 13]	1	1	-1	-1
210	[210, 210, 59]	-1	-1	1	-1

Set

$$k = 2^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\alpha_1}}{2}} 3^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\beta_1}}{2}} 5^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\gamma_1}}{2}} 7^{\frac{1-(-1)^{\delta_1}}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have $\varphi_{k,1}(A_1) = A_k$. Thus applying Theorem 3.2 we get

$$R(A_1, n) = R(\varphi_{k,1}(A_1), n_0) = R(A_k, n_0).$$

Hence, using the above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} R(A_1, n) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow R(A_k, n_0) > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_1+\beta_1+\delta_1}, \left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_1+\beta_1+\gamma_1}, \\ &\quad \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha_1+\beta_1+\gamma_1+\delta_1} \text{ and } \left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta_1+\gamma_1+\delta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

To see the criteria for $R(A_i, n) > 0$ ($i > 1$), we note that $R(A_i, n) = R(A_1, in)$ by Theorem 3.3.

If $R(A_i, n) > 0$, by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$R(A_i, n) = w(-5460) \prod_{\substack{(-5460) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n) = 2 \prod_{\substack{(-1365) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0).$$

So the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 8.2. *Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \mid 105$ and $4an + (a^2 + 1365)/2 = 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\delta n_0$ with $(n_0, 105) = 1$. Then $t_n(a, 1365/a) > 0$ if and only if $2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0$ for every prime q with $\left(\frac{-1365}{q}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = -(-1)^{\beta+\gamma}$ and $\left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = -\left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\beta+\gamma+\delta}$. Moreover, if $t_n(a, 1365/a) > 0$, then $t_n(a, 1365/a) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\substack{(-1365) \\ p}=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0)$.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.3(i) we know that

$$4t_n(a, 1365/a) = R([a, 0, 1365/a], 8n + a + 1365/a).$$

Since $4an + (a^2 + 1365)/2 = 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\delta n_0$ we see that $(-1)^{\beta+\delta} n_0 \equiv 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\delta n_0 \equiv (a^2 + 1365)/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and so $\left(\frac{-1}{n_0}\right) = -(-1)^{\beta+\delta}$. As $a(8n + a + 1365/a) = 2 \cdot 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\delta n_0$, using Theorem 8.1 and the above we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(a, 1365/a) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow R([a, 0, 1365/a], 8n + a + 1365/a) > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{n_0}{3}\right) = -(-1)^{\beta+\gamma}, \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right) = -(-1)^{\beta+\gamma+\delta}, \left(\frac{n_0}{7}\right) = (-1)^{\beta+\gamma+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

When $t_n(a, 1365/a) > 0$, using Theorem 8.1 we deduce the remaining result.

9. Formulas for $R(A, n)$ when $d < 0$ and $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$. From [6, Proposition 11.1] we know that all negative discriminants d with

$H(d) \cong C_4$ are:

- 39, -55, -56, -63, -68, -80, -128, -136, -144, -155, -156,
- 171, -184, -196, -203, -208, -219, -220, -252, -256, -259,
- 275, -291, -292, -323, -328, -355, -363, -387, -388, -400,
- 475, -507, -568, -592, -603, -667, -723, -763, -772, -955,
- 1003, -1027, -1227, -1243, -1387, -1411, -1467, -1507, -1555.

From [6, Theorem 11.3] and Lemma 2.1 we deduce the following result.

LEMMA 9.1. *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f . Suppose $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$ with $A^4 = I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R(A, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (n, f^2) \text{ is not a square,} \\ N(n, d)/4 & \text{if } (n, f^2) = m^2 \text{ with } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } h(d/m^2) = 1, \\ (1 - (-1)^{\sum_{p \in R(A_0)} \text{ord}_p n})N(n, d)/4 & \text{if } (n, f^2) = m^2 \text{ with } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } h(d/m^2) > 1, \end{cases}$$

where A_0 is a generator of $H(d/m^2)$. Hence $R(A, n) = 0$, $N(n, d)/4$ or $N(n, d)/2$.

LEMMA 9.2. *Let d be a discriminant such that $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$ with $A^4 = I$. Then no prime divisor of d can be represented by A .*

Proof. Suppose that p is a prime divisor of d and $f = f(d)$. If $p \mid f$, by [6, Lemma 5.2(i)] we know that p is not represented by any class in $H(d)$. If $p \nmid f$, by [6, Lemma 5.2(ii)] we know that p is represented by exactly one class $K \in H(d)$ and $K = K^{-1}$. Thus p is not represented by A . This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 9.3. *Let d be a discriminant and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b^2 - 4ac = d$. Let p be a prime such that $p = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let q be an odd prime such that $q \mid d$ and $q \nmid ap$. Then $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)$.*

Proof. As $4ap = (2ax + by)^2 - dy^2$ we obtain the result.

LEMMA 9.4. *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f and $d_0 = d/f^2$. Let $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$ with $A^4 = I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(n, f) = 1$. Suppose that q is an odd prime divisor of d such that for any prime $p \neq q$,*

$$p \in R(I) \cup R(A^2) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad p \in R(A) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1.$$

Suppose $n = q^\alpha n_0$ ($q \nmid n_0$). Then

$$R(A, n) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{q}\right)\right) \frac{w(d)}{4} \sum_{k \mid n} \left(\frac{d_0}{k}\right).$$

Proof. As $(n, f) = 1$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 9.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(A, n) &= (1 - (-1)^{\sum_{p \in R(A)} \text{ord}_p n}) \frac{N(n, d)}{4} \\ &= (1 - (-1)^{\sum_{p \in R(A)} \text{ord}_p n}) \frac{w(d)}{4} \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d_0}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If there is a prime p such that $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$ and $2 \nmid \text{ord}_p n$, by (2.1) we have $\sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d_0}{k}\right) = \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d}{k}\right) = 0$ and so $R(A, n) = 0$. Hence the result holds. Now assume $2 \mid \text{ord}_p n$ for every prime p with $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$. If p is a prime such that $p \mid d$ and $p \mid n$, as $(n, f) = 1$ we have $p \nmid f$. From Lemma 9.2 and its proof we know that $p \in R(I) \cup R(A^2)$ and $p \notin R(A)$. Thus $q \notin R(A)$. Hence

$$n_0 = \prod_{\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1} p^{\text{ord}_p n} \prod_{p \in R(I) \cup R(A^2), p \neq q} p^{\text{ord}_p n} \prod_{p \in R(A)} p^{\text{ord}_p n}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{n_0}{q} \right) &= \prod_{\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^{\text{ord}_p n} \prod_{p \in R(I) \cup R(A^2), p \neq q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^{\text{ord}_p n} \prod_{p \in R(A)} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^{\text{ord}_p n} \\ &= \prod_{p \in R(A)} (-1)^{\text{ord}_p n} = (-1)^{\sum_{p \in R(A)} \text{ord}_p n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now putting the above together we obtain the result.

LEMMA 9.5. *Let d be a discriminant with conductor f and $4 \mid d$. Let $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$ with $A^4 = I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(n, f) = 1$. Suppose $a \in \{-1, 2, -2\}$, $n = 2^\alpha n_0$ ($2 \nmid n_0$) and for any odd prime p ,*

$$p \in R(I) \cup R(A^2) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{p} \right) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad p \in R(A) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{p} \right) = -1.$$

Then

$$R(A, n) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{n_0} \right) \right) \frac{w(d)}{4} \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d/f^2}{k} \right).$$

Proof. Replacing $q, \left(\frac{n_0}{q}\right), \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ with $2, \left(\frac{a}{n_0}\right), \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ in the proof of Lemma 9.4 we deduce the result.

LEMMA 9.6. *Let d be a negative discriminant with conductor f , $d_0 = d/f^2$ and $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$ with $A^4 = I$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $h(d/m^2) = 1$. Then*

$$R(A, n) = w \left(\frac{d}{m^2} \right) \sum_{k|n/m^2} \left(\frac{d_0}{k} \right).$$

Proof. From Lemmas 9.1 and 2.1 we have

$$R(A, n) = \frac{N(n, d)}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p} \right) \right) \cdot w(d) \sum_{k|n/m^2} \left(\frac{d_0}{k} \right).$$

As $h(d) = 4$ and $h(d/m^2) = 1$, by [6, Lemma 3.5] we have

$$m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d/m^2}{p} \right) \right) \cdot w(d) = \frac{h(d)w(d/m^2)}{h(d/m^2)} = 4w \left(\frac{d}{m^2} \right).$$

So the result follows.

LEMMA 9.7. *Let d be a negative discriminant with conductor f , $d_0 = d/f^2$ and $H(d) = \{I, A, A^2, A^3\}$ with $A^4 = I$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(n, f^2) = m^2$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $d/m^2 \neq -60$ and $h(d/m^2) = 2$. Then*

$$R(A, n) = \left(1 - \chi \left(\frac{n}{m^2}, \frac{d}{m^2} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n/m^2} \left(\frac{d_0}{k} \right),$$

where $\chi(n', d') \in \{1, -1\}$ is given by [6, Table 9.2].

Proof. For $K \in H(d)$, by [6, Lemma 2.1(ii)] we may assume $K = [a, bm, cm^2]$ with $(a, m) = 1$. We recall that $\varphi_{1,m}([a, bm, cm^2]) = [a, b, c]$. By [6, Theorem 2.1], $\varphi_{1,m}$ is a surjective homomorphism from $H(d)$ to $H(d/m^2)$. Suppose $H(d/m^2) = \{I_0, A_0\}$ with $A_0^2 = I_0$. Then clearly $\varphi_{1,m}(A) = A_0$. Now applying [6, Theorem 3.2] we obtain $R(A, n) = R(A_0, n/m^2)$. As $d/m^2 = d_0(f/m)^2$ and $(n/m^2, f/m) = 1$, using (2.1) and [6, Theorem 9.3] we deduce $R(A_0, n/m^2) = (1 - \chi(n/m^2, d/m^2)) \sum_{k|n/m^2} (\frac{d_0}{k})$. So the result is true.

THEOREM 9.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([2, 1, 5], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-39}{k} \right) \quad (n = 3^\alpha n_0, 3 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([2, 1, 7], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{5} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-55}{k} \right) \quad (n = 5^\alpha n_0, 5 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([3, 2, 5], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-56}{k} \right) \quad (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([3, 2, 6], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-68}{k} \right) \quad (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([5, 2, 7], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-2}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-136}{k} \right) \quad (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0).$$

Proof. Clearly $H(-39) = \{[1, 1, 10], [2, 1, 5], [2, -1, 5], [3, 3, 4]\} \cong C_4$ and $f(-39) = 1$. Let $p \neq 3$ be a prime. If $p = x^2 + xy + 10y^2$ or $3x^2 + 3xy + 4y^2$, by Lemma 9.3 we have $\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{p}{13}\right) = 0, 1$. If $p = 2x^2 + xy + 5y^2$, by Lemmas 9.2 and 9.3 we have $\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{13}\right) = -1$. Thus taking $d = -39$, $f = 1$, $A = [2, 1, 5]$ and $q = 3$ in Lemma 9.4 we deduce the formula for $R([2, 1, 5], n)$.

It is known that $H(-55) = \{[1, 1, 14], [2, 1, 7], [2, -1, 7], [4, 3, 4]\} \cong C_4$ and $f(-55) = 1$. Let $p \neq 5$ be a prime. If $p = x^2 + xy + 14y^2$ or $4x^2 + 3xy + 4y^2$, by Lemma 9.3 we have $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{p}{11}\right) = 0, 1$. If $p = 2x^2 + xy + 7y^2$, by Lemmas 9.2 and 9.3 we have $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{11}\right) = -1$. Thus taking $d = -55$, $f = 1$, $A = [2, 1, 7]$ and $q = 5$ in Lemma 9.4 we deduce the formula for $R([2, 1, 7], n)$.

It is clear that $H(-56) = \{[1, 0, 14], [2, 0, 7], [3, 2, 5], [3, -2, 5]\} \cong C_4$ and $f(-56) = 1$. Let p be an odd prime. If $p = x^2 + 14y^2$ or $2x^2 + 7y^2$, then clearly $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right) = 0, 1$. If $p = 3x^2 + 2xy + 5y^2$, then clearly $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-7}{p}\right) = -1$. Hence taking $d = -56$, $f = 1$, $A = [3, 2, 5]$ and $a = 2$ in Lemma 9.5 we deduce the result for $R([3, 2, 5], n)$.

Clearly $H(-68) = \{[1, 0, 17], [2, 2, 9], [3, 2, 6], [3, -2, 6]\} \cong C_4$ and $f(-68) = 1$. Let p be an odd prime. If $p = x^2 + 17y^2$ or $2x^2 + 2xy + 9y^2$, then clearly $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = 0, 1$. If $p = 3x^2 + 2xy + 6y^2$, then clearly $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = -1$. Hence taking $d = -68$, $f = 1$, $A = [3, 2, 6]$ and $a = -1$ in Lemma 9.5 we deduce the result for $R([3, 2, 6], n)$.

It is clear that $H(-136) = \{[1, 0, 34], [2, 0, 17], [5, 2, 7], [5, -2, 7]\} \cong C_4$ and $f(-136) = 1$. Let p be an odd prime. If $p = x^2 + 34y^2$ or $2x^2 + 17y^2$, then clearly $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = 0, 1$. If $p = 5x^2 + 2xy + 7y^2$, then clearly $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = -1$. Hence taking $d = -136$, $f = 1$, $A = [5, 2, 7]$ and $a = -2$ in Lemma 9.5 we deduce the result for $R([5, 2, 7], n)$.

By the above, the theorem is proved.

Using Lemmas 9.4 and 9.5 one can similarly prove the following results.

THEOREM 9.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([3, 1, 13], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{5} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-155}{k} \right) \quad (n = 5^\alpha n_0, 5 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([5, 4, 10], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-184}{k} \right) \quad (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([3, 1, 17], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{7} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-203}{k} \right) \quad (n = 7^\alpha n_0, 7 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([5, 1, 11], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-219}{k} \right) \quad (n = 3^\alpha n_0, 3 \nmid n_0).$$

THEOREM 9.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R([5, 1, 13], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{7} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-259}{k} \right) & (n = 7^\alpha n_0, 7 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([5, 3, 15], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-291}{k} \right) & (n = 3^\alpha n_0, 3 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([7, 4, 11], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-292}{k} \right) & (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([3, 1, 27], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{17} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-323}{k} \right) & (n = 17^\alpha n_0, 17 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([7, 6, 13], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-2}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-328}{k} \right) & (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 9.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R([7, 3, 13], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{5} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-355}{k} \right) & (n = 5^\alpha n_0, 5 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([7, 2, 14], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-388}{k} \right) & (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([11, 2, 13], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-568}{k} \right) & (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([11, 9, 17], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{23} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-667}{k} \right) & (n = 23^\alpha n_0, 23 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([11, 5, 17], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-723}{k} \right) & (n = 3^\alpha n_0, 3 \nmid n_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 9.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R([13, 11, 17], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{7} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-763}{k} \right) & (n = 7^\alpha n_0, 7 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([11, 8, 19], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n_0} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-772}{k} \right) & (n = 2^\alpha n_0, 2 \nmid n_0), \\
 R([7, 5, 35], n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{5} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-955}{k} \right) & (n = 5^\alpha n_0, 5 \nmid n_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$R([11, 3, 23], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{17} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1003}{k} \right) \quad (n = 17^\alpha n_0, 17 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([7, 3, 37], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{13} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1027}{k} \right) \quad (n = 13^\alpha n_0, 13 \nmid n_0).$$

THEOREM 9.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([11, 7, 29], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{3} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1227}{k} \right) \quad (n = 3^\alpha n_0, 3 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([17, 7, 19], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{11} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1243}{k} \right) \quad (n = 11^\alpha n_0, 11 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([13, 11, 29], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{19} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1387}{k} \right) \quad (n = 19^\alpha n_0, 19 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([5, 3, 71], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{17} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1411}{k} \right) \quad (n = 17^\alpha n_0, 17 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([13, 1, 29], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{11} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1507}{k} \right) \quad (n = 11^\alpha n_0, 11 \nmid n_0),$$

$$R([17, 3, 23], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0}{5} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-1555}{k} \right) \quad (n = 5^\alpha n_0, 5 \nmid n_0).$$

For a discriminant d and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we recall that $\delta(n, d) = \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d}{k} \right)$.

THEOREM 9.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_0 \in \{-7, -19, -43, -67, -163\}$. Then*

$$R([9, 3, (1 - d_0)/4], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, d_0) & \text{if } 3 \mid n - 2, \\ 2\delta(n, d_0) & \text{if } 9 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $d = 9d_0$. Then we have $f(d) = 3$ and $H(d) = \{[1, 1, (1 - d)/4], [9, 9, (9 - d_0)/4], [9, 3, (1 - d_0)/4], [9, -3, (1 - d_0)/4]\}$. Clearly $H(d) \cong C_4$ and $[9, 3, (1 - d_0)/4]$ is a generator of $H(d)$. As $(1 - d_0)/4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, using Lemma 9.3 we see that a prime p is represented by $[9, 3, (1 - d_0)/4]$ if and only if $\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{d_0}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-p}{-d_0}\right) = \left(\frac{-9}{-d_0}\right) = 1$, and $p = x^2 + xy + \frac{1-d}{4}y^2$ or $9x^2 + 9xy + \frac{9-d_0}{4}y^2$ if and only if $\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{d_0}{p}\right) = 0, 1$. Since $h(d_0) = 1$, by Lemmas 9.1, 9.4 and 9.6 we have

$$R([9, 3, (1 - d_0)/4], n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n}{3}\right)\right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d_0}{k}\right) & \text{if } 3 \nmid n, \\ 2 \sum_{k|n/9} \left(\frac{d_0}{k}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } 3 \parallel n. \end{cases}$$

To complete the proof, we note that if $9 \mid n$ and $n = 3^\alpha n_0$ ($3 \nmid n_0$), then

$$(9.1) \quad \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{d_0}{k}\right) - \sum_{k|n/9} \left(\frac{d_0}{k}\right) = \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{d_0}{3^{\alpha-1}k}\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{d_0}{3}\right)\right) = 0.$$

THEOREM 9.8. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([3, 2, 11], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -8) & \text{if } 4 \mid n - 3, \\ 2\delta(n/4, -8) & \text{if } 16 \mid n - 12, \\ 2\delta(n/16, -8) & \text{if } 16 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is known that $H(-128) = \{[1, 0, 32], [4, 4, 9], [3, 2, 11], [3, -2, 11]\}$ and $f(-128) = 4$. For a prime p , it is clear that $p = 3x^2 + 2xy + 11y^2$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = 1$, and $p = x^2 + 32y^2$ or $4x^2 + 4xy + 9y^2$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = 1$. Thus, if $2 \nmid n$, by Lemma 9.5 we have $R([3, 2, 11], n) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)\right) \frac{2}{4} \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-8}{k}\right)$. If $(n, 16) = 4$, then $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. As $H(-32) = \{[1, 0, 8], [3, 2, 3]\}$, using Lemma 9.7 we see that

$$R([3, 2, 11], n) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n/4}\right)\right) \sum_{k|n/4} \left(\frac{-8}{k}\right) = (1 - (-1)^{(n-4)/8}) \sum_{k|n/4} \left(\frac{-8}{k}\right).$$

If $(n, 16) = 16$, then $16 \mid n$. As $h(-8) = 1$, by Lemma 9.6 we have

$$R([3, 2, 11], n) = 2 \sum_{k|n/16} \left(\frac{-8}{k}\right).$$

If $(n, 4^2)$ is not a square, by Lemma 9.1 we have $R([3, 2, 11], n) = 0$. So the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 9.9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = 2^\alpha n_0$ with $2 \nmid n_0$. Let $d_1 \in \{5, 13, 37\}$. Then*

$$R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -4d_1) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 2\delta(n/4, -4d_1) & \text{if } 4 \mid n \text{ and } 4 \mid n_0 + 1 - 2\alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $d = -16d_1$. It is easily seen that $f(d) = 2$ and

$$H(d) = \{[1, 0, 4d_1], [4, 0, d_1], [8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], [8, -4, (d_1 + 1)/2]\}.$$

Clearly $H(d) \cong C_4$ and $[8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2]$ is a generator of $H(d)$. For a prime p , it is clear that $p = 8x^2 + 4xy + \frac{d_1 + 1}{2}y^2$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{d_1}{p}\right) = -1$, and

$p = x^2 + 4d_1y^2$ or $4x^2 + d_1y^2$ if and only if $(\frac{-1}{p}) = 1$ and $(\frac{d_1}{p}) = 0, 1$. Thus, if $2 \nmid n$, by Lemma 9.5 we have

$$R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{n} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n} \left(\frac{-4d_1}{k} \right).$$

If $2 \parallel n$, by Lemma 9.1 we have $R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = 0$. If $4 \mid n$, as $H(d/2^2) = H(-4d_1) = \{[1, 0, d_1], [2, 2, (d_1 + 1)/2]\}$, using Lemmas 2.1 and 9.1 we see that

$$R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = (1 - (-1)^{\sum_{p=2x^2+2xy+\frac{d_1+1}{2}y^2} \text{ord}_p n}) \sum_{k|n/4} \left(\frac{-4d_1}{k} \right).$$

If $2 \nmid \text{ord}_p(n/4)$ for some prime p with $(\frac{-4d_1}{p}) = -1$, by (2.1) we have $\sum_{k|n/4} (\frac{-4d_1}{k}) = 0$ and so $R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = 0$. Now assume that $2 \mid \text{ord}_p(n/4)$ for every prime p with $(\frac{-4d_1}{p}) = -1$. As $p = x^2 + d_1y^2$ implies $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $p = 2x^2 + 2xy + \frac{d_1+1}{2}y^2$ implies $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{-1}{n_0} \right) &= \prod_{p|n_0} \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p n_0} = \prod_{p|n_0} \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(n/4)} \\ &= \prod_{p|n_0, (\frac{-4d_1}{p})=0,1} \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(n/4)} = \prod_{p=2x^2+2xy+\frac{d_1+1}{2}y^2 \neq 2} (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(n/4)} \\ &= (-1)^\alpha \cdot (-1)^{\sum_{p=2x^2+2xy+\frac{d_1+1}{2}y^2} \text{ord}_p n}. \end{aligned}$$

So we always have

$$R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = (1 - (-1)^{\alpha+(n_0-1)/2}) \sum_{k|n/4} \left(\frac{-4d_1}{k} \right).$$

Now putting the above together we deduce the result.

REMARK 9.1. As $[8, 4, 3] = [3, -4, 8] = [3, 2, 7]$, we have $R([8, 4, 3], n) = R([3, 2, 7], n)$. If $4 \mid n$, $d_1 \in \{5, 13\}$ and $n/4 = d_1^t n_1$ ($d_1 \nmid n_1$), by appealing to Lemma 9.7 we have

$$R([8, 4, (d_1 + 1)/2], n) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_1}{d_1} \right) \right) \sum_{k|n/4} \left(\frac{-4d_1}{k} \right).$$

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 9.1–9.7 one can similarly prove the following results.

THEOREM 9.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([5, 2, 10], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}, \\ 4\delta(n/49, -4) & \text{if } 49 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$R([3, 1, 23], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -11) & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ 2\delta(n/25, -11) & \text{if } 25 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 9.11. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([7, 1, 17], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -19) & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ 2\delta(n/25, -19) & \text{if } 25 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$R([7, 1, 13], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -3) & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 6, 7, 8, 10 \pmod{11}, \\ 6\delta(n/121, -3) & \text{if } 121 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$R([7, 5, 19], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -3) & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 \pmod{13}, \\ 6\delta(n/169, -3) & \text{if } 169 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 9.12. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \{3, 5\}$ and $n = p^\alpha n_0$ ($p \nmid n_0$). Then*

$$R([p + 2, 2, 8], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, p(p - 16)) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \text{ and } \left(\frac{n_0}{p}\right) = -1, \\ \delta(n/4, p(p - 16)) & \text{if } 4 \mid n \text{ and } \left(\frac{n_0}{p}\right) = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 9.13. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([5, 2, 13], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 2\delta(n/4, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 12 \pmod{32}, \\ 2\delta(n/16, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv 16 \pmod{32}, \\ 4\delta(n/64, -4) & \text{if } 64 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 9.14. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([5, 4, 8], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ 2\delta(n/4, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv 8 \pmod{12}, \\ 2\delta(n/9, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv 9 \pmod{18}, \\ 4\delta(n/36, -4) & \text{if } 36 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 9.15. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([8, 6, 9], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -7) & \text{if } n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \delta(n/4, -7) & \text{if } n \equiv 8 \pmod{12}, \\ 2\delta(n/9, -7) & \text{if } n \equiv 9 \pmod{18}, \\ 2\delta(n/36, -7) & \text{if } 36 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 9.16. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$R([8, 4, 13], n) = \begin{cases} \delta(n, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{10}, \\ 2\delta(n/4, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 8 \pmod{20}, \\ 2\delta(n/25, -4) & \text{if } n \equiv 25 \pmod{50}, \\ 4\delta(n/100, -4) & \text{if } 100 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

10. Formulas for $t_n(1, 8)$, $t_n(1, 63)$, $t_n(7, 9)$, $t_n(1, 55)$, $t_n(5, 11)$, $t_n(1, 39)$ and $t_n(3, 13)$. For $k = 1, \dots, 12$ let

$$q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \{(1 - q^{km})(1 - q^{(24-k)m})\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(n)q^n \quad (|q| < 1).$$

In [7], for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12$ we showed that $\phi_k(n)$ is a multiplicative function of n and determined the value of $\phi_k(n)$. See [7, Theorems 4.4 and 4.5].

THEOREM 10.1. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$4t_n(1, 8) = \sum_{k|8n+9} \left(\frac{-2}{k}\right) - \phi_8(8n + 9).$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 we know that $4t_n(1, 8) = R([4, 4, 9], 8n+9)$. As $H(-128) = \{[1, 0, 32], [4, 4, 9], [3, 2, 11], [3, -2, 11]\} \cong C_4$ and $f(-128) = 4$, we have $R([1, 0, 32], 8n + 9) + R([4, 4, 9], 8n + 9) = N(8n + 9, -128) - 2R([3, 2, 11], 8n + 9)$. On the other hand, by [7, Theorem 2.2] we have $R([1, 0, 32], 8n + 9) - R([4, 4, 9], 8n + 9) = 2\phi_8(8n + 9)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_n(1, 8) &= R([4, 4, 9], 8n + 9) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}N(8n + 9, -128) - R([3, 2, 11], 8n + 9) - \phi_8(8n + 9). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $N(8n + 9, -128) = 2 \sum_{k|8n+9} (\frac{-8}{k})$. By Theorem 9.8 we have $R([3, 2, 11], 8n + 9) = 0$. Thus the result follows.

THEOREM 10.2. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *If $n + 8 = 2^{\alpha_0}n_0$ with $2 \nmid n_0$, then*

$$t_n(1, 63) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n - 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n \text{ and } 2 \mid \alpha_0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) + (-1)^{(\alpha_0+1)/2} \phi_3(n_0) \right) & \text{if } 6 \mid n \text{ and } 2 \nmid \alpha_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } 3 \nmid n \text{ and } 9 \nmid n - 1. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $n + 2 = 2^{\alpha_1} n_1$ with $2 \nmid n_1$, then

$$t_n(7, 9) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k|n_1} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 9 | n + 2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k|n_1} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 3 | n \text{ and } 2 | \alpha_1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k|n_1} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) + (-1)^{(\alpha_1-1)/2} \phi_3(n_1) \right) & \text{if } 6 | n \text{ and } 2 \nmid \alpha_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 3 \nmid n \text{ and } 9 \nmid n + 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 we see that

$$4t_n(1, 63) = \begin{cases} R([1, 1, 16], 2n + 16) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n, \\ R([1, 1, 16], 2n + 16) - R([1, 1, 16], n/2 + 4) & \text{if } 2 | n, \end{cases}$$

$$4t_n(7, 9) = \begin{cases} R([7, 7, 4], 2n + 4) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n, \\ R([7, 7, 4], 2n + 4) - R([7, 7, 4], n/2 + 1) & \text{if } 2 | n. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $R([7, 7, 4], m) = R([4, -7, 7], m) = R([4, 1, 4], m)$. We then have

$$4t_n(7, 9) = \begin{cases} R([4, 1, 4], 2n + 4) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n, \\ R([4, 1, 4], 2n + 4) - R([4, 1, 4], n/2 + 1) & \text{if } 2 | n. \end{cases}$$

As $H(-63) = \{[1, 1, 16], [4, 1, 4], [2, 1, 8], [2, -1, 8]\} \cong C_4$, we have

$$R([1, 1, 16], m) + R([4, 1, 4], m) = N(m, -63) - 2R([2, 1, 8], m).$$

On the other hand, by [7, Theorem 2.2], $R([1, 1, 16], m) - R([4, 1, 4], m) = 2\phi_3(m)$. Thus,

$$R([1, 1, 16], m) = \frac{1}{2}N(m, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], m) + \phi_3(m),$$

$$R([4, 1, 4], m) = \frac{1}{2}N(m, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], m) - \phi_3(m).$$

From Lemma 2.1 and (9.1) we see that

$$N(m, -63) = \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) & \text{if } 3 \nmid m, \\ 8 \sum_{k|m/9} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) = 8 \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) & \text{if } 9 | m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 9.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R([2, 1, 8], m) &= R([2, -3, 9], m) = R([9, 3, 2], m) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid m - 2, \\ 2 \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $m = 2^t m_0$ ($2 \nmid m_0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2}N(m, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], m) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) = \sum_{k|m_0} \sum_{i=0}^t \left(\frac{-7}{2^i k}\right) = (t+1) \sum_{k|m_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid m - 1, \\ 2 \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-7}{k}\right) = 2 \sum_{k|m_0} \sum_{i=0}^t \left(\frac{-7}{2^i k}\right) = 2(t+1) \sum_{k|m_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\alpha, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \nmid m_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2}N(2^{\alpha+1}m_0, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], 2^{\alpha+1}m_0) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2}N(2^{\alpha-1}m_0, -63) + R([2, 1, 8], 2^{\alpha-1}m_0) \\
 &= \begin{cases} (\alpha + 2 - \alpha) \sum_{k|m_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) = 2 \sum_{k|m_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid 2^\alpha m_0 + 1, \\ (2(\alpha + 2) - 2\alpha) \sum_{k|m_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) = 4 \sum_{k|m_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid m_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $2 \nmid n$, by [7, Theorem 4.5(i)] we have $\phi_3(2n + 16) = \phi_3(2n + 4) = 0$. Hence, applying the above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 4t_n(1, 63) &= R([1, 1, 16], 2n + 16) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}N(2n + 16, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], 2n + 16) \\
 &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n+8} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n, \\ 4 \sum_{k|n+8} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 4t_n(7, 9) &= R([4, 1, 4], 2n + 4) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}N(2n + 4, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], 2n + 4) \\
 &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n+2} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n, \\ 4 \sum_{k|n+2} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) & \text{if } 9 \mid n + 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now assume $2 \mid n$. Suppose $n = 2^{\alpha_0}n_0 - 8 = 2^{\alpha_1}n_1 - 2$ with $2 \nmid n_0n_1$. From the above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 4t_n(1, 63) &= R([1, 1, 16], 2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0) - R([1, 1, 16], 2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}N(2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], 2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0) - \frac{1}{2}N(2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0, -63) \\
 &\quad + R([2, 1, 8], 2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0) \\
 &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0) & \text{if } 3 \mid n, \\ 4 \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0) & \text{if } 9 \mid n - 1, \\ \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0+1}n_0) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_0-1}n_0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 4t_n(7, 9) &= R([4, 1, 4], 2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1) - R([4, 1, 4], 2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}N(2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1, -63) - R([2, 1, 8], 2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1) - \frac{1}{2}N(2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1, -63) \\
 &\quad + R([2, 1, 8], 2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1) \\
 &= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k|n_1} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1) & \text{if } 3 \mid n, \\ 4 \sum_{k|n_1} \left(\frac{k}{7}\right) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1) & \text{if } 9 \mid n + 2, \\ -\phi_3(2^{\alpha_1+1}n_1) + \phi_3(2^{\alpha_1-1}n_1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $H(-63) = \{[1, 1, 16], [4, 1, 4], [2, 1, 8], [2, -1, 8]\} \cong C_4$ and $\phi_3(m) = \frac{1}{2}(R([1, 1, 16], m) - R([4, 1, 4], m))$, using [6, Theorem 7.4(ii)] we see that $\phi_3(m)$ is a multiplicative function of m . By [6, Theorem 8.7], for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\phi_3(2^t) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{t/2} & \text{if } 2 \mid t, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid t. \end{cases}$$

Thus, for $i = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3(2^{\alpha_i+1}n_i) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_i-1}n_i) &= (\phi_3(2^{\alpha_i+1}) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_i-1}))\phi_3(n_i) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2(-1)^{(\alpha_i+1)/2}\phi_3(n_i) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha_i. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From [7, Theorem 4.5(i)] we know that $\phi_3(n_i) = 0$ for $n_i \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$. As $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ implies $3 \mid n_i$ and so $\phi_3(n_i) = 0$, and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $2 \nmid \alpha_i$ implies $n_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and so $\phi_3(n_i) = 0$, we see that $\phi_3(2^{\alpha_i+1}n_i) - \phi_3(2^{\alpha_i-1}n_i) = 0$ for $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Now putting all the above together we deduce the result.

THEOREM 10.3. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\phi_3(n) = t_{2n-2}(7, 9) - t_{2n-8}(1, 63)$ and so $t_{2n-2}(7, 9) - t_{2n-8}(1, 63)$ is a multiplicative function of n .*

Proof. Suppose $2n = 2^\alpha n_0$ with $2 \nmid n_0$. According to the proof of Theorem 10.2, $\phi_3(n)$ is a multiplicative function of n and

$$\phi_3(n) = \phi_3(2^{\alpha-1}n_0) = \phi_3(2^{\alpha-1})\phi_3(n_0) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2}\phi_3(n_0) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \mid \alpha. \end{cases}$$

As $\phi_3(1) = 1, \phi_3(2) = \phi_3(3) = 0$ and $\phi_3(4) = -1$, we see that $\phi_3(n) = t_{2n-2}(7, 9) - t_{2n-8}(1, 63)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Now suppose $n > 4$. From the above and Theorem 10.2 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2n-2}(7, 9) - t_{2n-8}(1, 63) &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2}\phi_3(n_0) = \phi_3(n) & \text{if } 3 \mid n-1 \text{ and } 2 \nmid \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $3 \mid n-1$ and $2 \mid \alpha$, then $\alpha \geq 2$ and so $\phi_3(n) = 0$ by the above. From [7, Theorem 4.5(i)] we also have $\phi_3(n) = 0$ for $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$. Thus we always have $\phi_3(n) = t_{2n-2}(7, 9) - t_{2n-8}(1, 63)$. So the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 10.4. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \{3, 5\}$ and $n + m + 2 = 4^r m^s A$ with $(A, 2m) = 1$. Then*

$$t_n(1, m(16 - m)) = t_{n+m}(m, 16 - m) = \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{4} \sum_{k \mid A} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right).$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_n(1, 8m + 15) &= R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) - R([1, 0, 8m + 15], 2n + 2m + 4) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$4t_n(m, 16 - m) = R([m, m, 4], 2n + 4) - R([m, 0, 16 - m], 2n + 4).$$

As $[1, 0, 8m + 15] = [1, 2, 4(2m + 4)]$, $[m, 0, 16 - m] = [m, 2m, 16]$ and $f(-4(8m + 15)) = 2$, by [6, Theorem 3.2] we have

$$R([1, 0, 8m + 15], 2n + 2m + 4) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \\ R([1, 1, 2m + 4], (n + m + 2)/2) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \end{cases}$$

and

$$R([m, 0, 16 - m], 2n + 4) = \begin{cases} R([m, m, 4], (n + 2)/2) & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(10.1) \quad 4t_n(1, 8m + 15) = \begin{cases} R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \\ R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) - R([1, 1, 2m + 4], (n + m + 2)/2) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \end{cases}$$

and

$$(10.2) \quad 4t_{n+m}(m, 16 - m) = \begin{cases} R([m, m, 4], 2n + 2m + 4) & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \\ R([m, m, 4], 2n + 2m + 4) - R([m, m, 4], (n + m + 2)/2) & \text{if } 2 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that

$$H(-8m - 15) = \{[1, 1, 2m + 4], [m, m, 4], [2, 1, m + 2], [2, -1, m + 2]\} \cong C_4.$$

Thus applying [6, Theorem 7.4(ii)] we see that $F(n) = \frac{1}{2}(R([1, 1, 2m + 4], n) - R([m, m, 4], n))$ is multiplicative. Hence

$$F(2n + 2m + 4) = F(2^{2r+1}n_0) = F(2^{2r+1})F(n_0)$$

and

$$F((n + m + 2)/2) = F(2^{2r-1}n_0) = F(2^{2r-1})F(n_0) \quad \text{for } r \geq 1.$$

Since $f(-8m - 15) = 1$ and 2 is represented by $[2, 1, m + 2]$, using [6, Theorem 8.7] we see that $F(2^t) = 0$ for $2 \nmid t$. Thus $F((n + m + 2)/2) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$ and $F(2n + 2m + 4) = 0$. Hence $R([1, 1, 2m + 4], (n + m + 2)/2) = R([m, m, 4], (n + m + 2)/2)$ for $r \geq 1$ and $R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) = R([m, m, 4], 2n + 2m + 4)$. This together with (10.1) and (10.2) yields $t_n(1, 8m + 15) = t_{n+m}(m, 16 - m)$. From the above, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 9.1 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) \\
 &= R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) + R([m, m, 4], 2n + 2m + 4) \\
 &= N(2n + 2m + 4, -8m - 15) - 2R([2, 1, m + 2], 2n + 2m + 4) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k|2n+2m+4} \left(\frac{-8m - 15}{k} \right) - \left(1 - \left(\frac{2^{2r+1}A}{m} \right) \right) \sum_{k|2n+2m+4} \left(\frac{-8m - 15}{k} \right) \\
 &= \left(1 - \left(\frac{A}{m} \right) \right) \sum_{k|2n+2m+4} \left(\frac{-8m - 15}{k} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for odd n we have

$$2R\left([1, 1, 2m + 4], \frac{n + m + 2}{2}\right) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{A}{m} \right) \right) \sum_{k|(n+m+2)/2} \left(\frac{-8m - 15}{k} \right).$$

If $2 \mid n$, from the above, (10.1) and the fact that $8m + 15 = m(16 - m)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n(1, m(16 - m)) &= t_n(1, 8m + 15) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) \\
 &= \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{8} \sum_{k|2n+2m+4} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{8} \sum_{k|m^s A} \left(\left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right) + \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{2k} \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{4} \sum_{k|m^s A} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right) = \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{4} \sum_{k|A} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $2 \nmid n$, by (10.1) and the above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n(1, m(16 - m)) &= t_n(1, 8m + 15) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}(R([1, 1, 2m + 4], 2n + 2m + 4) - R([1, 1, 2m + 4], (n + m + 2)/2)) \\
 &= \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{8} \left(\sum_{k|2n+2m+4} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right) - \sum_{k|(n+m+2)/2} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{8} \sum_{k|m^s A} \left(\left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{2^{2r}k} \right) + \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{2^{2r+1}k} \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{4} \sum_{k|m^s A} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right) = \frac{1 - (\frac{A}{m})}{4} \sum_{k|A} \left(\frac{-m(16 - m)}{k} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 10.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{3, 5\}$ and $f(n) = t_{2n-2}(m, 16 - m) - t_{2n-2-m}(1, m(16 - m))$. Then $f(n)$ is a multiplicative function of n .*

Proof. Define $F(n) = \frac{1}{2}(R([1, 1, 2m + 4], n) - R([m, m, 4], n))$. Since $H(-8m - 15) = \{[1, 1, 2m + 4], [m, m, 4], [2, 1, m + 2], [2, -1, m + 2]\} \cong C_4$, from [6, Theorem 7.4(ii)] we know that $F(n)$ is multiplicative. It is easily seen that $F(1) = 1$, $F(2) = F(3) = 0$ and so $f(n) = F(n)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$. From [6, Theorem 8.7] we see that $F(2^t) = (-1)^{t/2}$ or 0 according as $2 \mid t$ or $2 \nmid t$. Suppose $n = 2^\alpha n_0$ with $2 \nmid n_0$. We then have $F(2^{\alpha+2}) = -F(2^\alpha)$. For $n > 3$, from (10.1), (10.2) and the above we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_{2n-2-m}(1, m(16 - m)) - 4t_{2n-2}(m, 16 - m) &= 2F(4n) - 2F(n) \\ &= 2(F(2^{\alpha+2}) - F(2^\alpha))F(n_0) = -4F(2^\alpha)F(n_0) = -4F(n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(n) = F(n)$. This proves the theorem.

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestion on simplifying some results in Section 2.

The author is supported by the Natural Sciences Foundation of China (grant No. 10971078).

References

- [1] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks. Part III*, Springer, New York, Berlin, 1991.
- [2] —, *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [3] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$. Fermat, Class Field Theory, and Complex Multiplication*, Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [4] A.-M. Legendre, *Traité des fonctions elliptiques*, Vol. 3, Paris, 1832.
- [5] Z. H. Sun, *On the number of representations of n by $ax(x - 1)/2 + by(y - 1)/2$* , J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 971–989.
- [6] Z. H. Sun and K. S. Williams, *On the number of representations of n by $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$* , Acta Arith. 122 (2006), 101–171.
- [7] —, —, *Ramanujan identities and Euler products for a type of Dirichlet series*, *ibid.* 122 (2006), 349–393.
- [8] K. S. Williams, *Some Lambert series expansions of products of theta functions*, Ramanujan J. 3 (1999), 367–384.

Zhi-Hong Sun
 School of Mathematical Sciences
 Huaiyin Normal University
 Huaian, Jiangsu 223001, P.R. China
 E-mail: szh6174@yahoo.com
<http://www.hytc.edu.cn/xsjl/szh>

*Received on 19.3.2009
 and in revised form on 9.4.2010*

(6440)

